



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,753	01/22/2002	Lester F. Lau	05031.003.CNUS02	6127
22930 7	7590 07/06/2005		EXAMINER	
HOWREY L	LP		WOITACH,	JOSEPH T
C/O IP DOCK	ETING DEPARTMENT			
2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DR. SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-2924			1632	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/053,753	LAU, LESTER
Examiner	Art Unit
Joseph T. Woitach	1632

Before the Finnig of an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Joseph T. Woitach	1632						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL  The Nation of Appeal was filed on A brief in som	nliance with 27 CEP 44 27 must be	filed within two man	the of the date					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS								
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NO							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ampliant Amandman	(DTOL 224)					
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ol>		ompilant Amendmeni	(PTOL-324).					
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling</li> </ul>								
the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>65-77</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•	•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13.  Other:		_						
		-)ar Won	Ta)					
		JUI WOU AU 167	TV					
S. Patent and Trademark Office								

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

## Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to add the term 'isolated' raises issues of new matter, and consideration under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, regarding metes and bounds of isolated regarding the antibody itself, and consideration of art relative to isolating an antibody with a known activity present in any sample.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that neither O'Brian nor Yang teach an isolated antibody, only one that is present as a polyclonal antibody in serum. It is noted that the amendments have not been entered, however to the extent it applies to the pending claims, it is noted that the serum that both O'Brian and Yang analyze and use in the cited references was 'isolated' from an animal. Applicants do not argue that the antibody composition of O'Brian and/or Yang do not contain the functional antibody as argued by Examiner, only that it is not isolated. Further, it is noted that given the breadth of the term isolated recognized in the art, it is unclear that this term alone would distinguish it from the antibody taught by the cited references.